

### REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated October 29, 2007. Claims 19 to 22 are in the application, of which Claim 19 is independent. Claims 1 to 18 have been cancelled without prejudice. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Claims 1 to 5 were objected to for containing non-elected subject matter. New Claim 19 corresponds to original Claim 2 with the non-elected subject matter deleted.

With respect to the dependent claims, Claim 20 recites the feature recited by original Claim 4, and Claim 21 is directed to compound (VI-14) as set forth in Table 4 of the application. Claim 22 is directed to a non-elected invention. See original Claim 6. However, rejoinder of this claim is respectfully requested pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a).

Claims 2 to 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The rejection is respectfully traversed, and is submitted to have been obviated by the amendments made herein. In particular, new Claim 19 recites an upper limit of 500 for the variable m.

The application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

No fees are believed due; however, should it be determined that additional fees are required, the Director is hereby authorized to charge such fees and any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required during the entire pendency of this application, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account 06-1205.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office by telephone at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Damond E. Vadnais/  
Damond E. Vadnais  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 52,310

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3800  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

FCBS\_WS 1930308v1